NORTH HIGH 512 Cromer Ave North, SC 29112 6-12 High School GRADES 360 Students ENROLLMENT Sterling Harris 803-247-2541 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Melvin Smoak 803-534-5454 BOARD CHAIR Melvin Crum 803-534-5454 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 3 6 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: z This school met 3 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Excellent	N/A
2003	Good	Good	No
2004	Excellent	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	64.6	N/A	N/A	61.6	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	16.7	N/A	N/A	19.5	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	18.8	N/A	N/A	19.0	N/A	N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	92.7%	89.0%

Percent of Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at 8.1 2.9 four-year institutions* Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement 8.1 2.9

40.5

29.3

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	39	112		
Number of Diplomas	32	81		
Rate	82.1%	72.0%		

Seniors who met the grade point average
*Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

3805042

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate					
	n %		n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	41	92.7	37	8.1	39	82.1	YES			
Gender										
Male	21	85.7	17	5.9	20	75.0	N/A			
Female	20	100.0	20	10.0	19	89.5	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	16	100.0	13	15.4	15	86.7	N/A			
African-American	25	88.0	24	4.2	24	79.2				
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	38	94.7	35	8.6	35	88.6	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	3	I/S	2	I/S	4	I/S	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	41	92.7	37	8.1	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	41	92.7	37	8.1	39	82.1	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	33	93.9	32	3.1	31	80.6	N/A			
Full-pay meals	8	87.5	5	40.0	8	87.5	N/A			

BY GRI		-,-	-,-		-,-	- 7 -	-,-	-
/ 🚈	ر اچ	/ '8	<u> </u>	/ t	: / ;	and a	<u>;</u>	Participat:
je j		, \ ₈ ,	, l si	gj.	,	رَ إِذَا ا	e E	¥ / ₹
[[] 5	[]	/ ¹ 0	/ %	1 %	1 \$	jg g	} / £ 5	: / <u>:</u>
<u>ii</u> je	·/ ~~	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	184	/ ª ð	%/
	oo Arto							
								N
01	34.1	22.0	01.0	00.0	12.0	04.2	120	14
28	89.3	32.0	24.0	40.0	4.0	52.0	N/A	N/
1			-		-			N,
	100.0	10.0	0011	2011	2	00.0	1471	
18	100.0	11.1	22.2	44.4	22.2	77.8	I/S	I,
								I.
1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I.
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I.
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I
42	95.2	7.5	37.5	40.0	15.0	65.0	N/A	N
9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
51	94.1	22.9	31.3	33.3	12.5	54.2	N/A	N
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I,
51	94.1	22.9	31.3	33.3	12.5	54.2	N/A	N
37	91.9	29.4	32.4	32.4	5.9	44.1	I/S	I
14	100.0	7.1	28.6	35.7	28.6	78.6	N/A	N
Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
			,			53.2	YES	N
28	85.7	37.5	20.8	33.3	8.3	50.0	N/A	N
23	100.0	21.7	26.1	34.8	17.4	56.5	N/A	N
18	100.0	27.8	22.2	27.8	22.2	61.1	I/S	I
32	90.6	31.0	24.1	37.9	6.9	48.3	I/S	I
1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I
42	95.2	17.5	27.5	40.0	15.0	62.5	N/A	N
9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N.
51	92.2	29.8	23.4	34.0	12.8	53.2	N/A	N
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I
51	92.2	29.8	23.4	34.0	12.8	53.2	N/A	N
37								I
14	100.0	7.1	50.0	28.6	14.3	64.3	N/A	LΙ
	Strict S	Sh/Language Aris - 51 94.1 28 89.3 23 100.0 18 100.0 32 93.8 1 I/S 0 N/A 42 95.2 9 I/S 23 100.0 34 100.0 37 37 39.9 38 37 39.6 32 37 38 39.2 38 39.2 38 39.2 38 39.2 38 39.2 38 39.2 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 3	State Performation Performatio	Sh/Language Arts - State Performance 51	Sh/Language Aris - State Performance Objective 51 94.1 22.9 31.3 33.3 32.0 24.0 40.0 23 100.0 13.0 39.1 26.1 18 100.0 11.1 22.2 44.4 32 93.8 30.0 36.7 26.7 1 I/S	## State Performance Objective = 33.3% 51	Sh/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 33.3% State Performance State State	Sh/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 33.3% State Performance Objective = 30.0% State Perfo

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SCHOOL PROFILE

SONSOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 360)				
Retention rate	9.0%	Up from 0.5%	10.6%	9.1%
Attendance rate	94.7%	Up from 94.4%	95.9%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0% 0.5%	Down from 1.0% Down from 15.9%	2.6% 14.5%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade	11.1%	Up from 10.2%	14.3%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.1%	Down from 3.2%	2.1%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	6.6%	Up from 0.0%	6.6%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV		10.2%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	2.7%	Up from 2.4%	2.7%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	4.5%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	47	Down from 62	280	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	14.2%	Down from 20.2%	29.7%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	88.5%	Up from 77.4%	72.8%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.0%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 28)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Up from 42.9%	47.6%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	85.7%	No change	66.7%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	89.5% 8.3%	N/A	87.9% 22.2%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	85.6% 93.4%	Down from 87.8% Down from 96.8%	79.9% 94.4%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$41,084	Down 0.2%	\$40,106	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	16.4 days	Up from 10.7 days	12.9 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	18.6 to 1	Down from 20.1 to 1	21.4 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.8%	Down from 90.0%	88.0%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,524	Up 8.6%	\$8,190	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	56.8%	Up from 54.4%	55.1%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	93.6%	Down from 96.8%	88.0%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	No	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A	Good	Good
Highly qualified to ask assist laws and	aabaa!=**			ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		N/A		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schools**	93.0% State Objective		.1%
Highly availed to always in this calcality		•		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*		65.0%	Y	es

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

No

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

North High School serves the North area of Orangeburg County as a 6 - 12 middle/high school. The school is comprised of approximately 370 students. We have a student body that is very diverse in culture with 65 percent of the student body being African-American and 33 percent white and 2 percent of Hispanic heritage. The faculty is made up of dedicated educators who strive to provide all students with the opportunity to learn each day without regard to prior academic achievement. We are committed to the belief that every child can learn.

Proper preparation for higher education and careers is a goal of the school. The school encourages all students to prepare for post secondary education. We also help prepare students for vocational careers by providing instruction by partnership with the Orangeburg Consolidated School District Five's Technology Center. We have experienced a significant increase in the Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test (PACT) scores in both language arts/reading and mathematics. In addition, we were recognized for a gain of over 156 points on our SAT average resulting in a \$10,000 award from the State Department in the last two years. We also were a recipient of the Palmetto Gold Award in 2002, and Palmetto Silver Award in 2003 for improvements in our test scores.

North High School is a Learning Focused Project School whereby instruction each day incorporates "best practices" research. All teachers are trained to use the Learning Focused strategies as they teach. All students participate in the Accelerated Reader Program each day. Our students also use the Accelerated Math Program extensively at our school. We hope to increase our reading and math skills and test performance through these innovative programs. We plan to use additional funds in the upcoming years to make our school completely standards-based through extensive faculty staff development and training in content areas as well as teaching strategies.

In addition to academic performance, students at North High School excel in extracurricular areas as well. Our varsity softball, girl's basketball, boy's basketball, and volleyball teams competed in the state playoffs. Our award-winning band had been a state finalist for the past four years. Our first community barbecue was attended by 250 parents, which truly represents our united commitment to excellence in education.

Sterling Harris, Principal

Rev. Thomas Pearson, School Improvement Council Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
Teachers Students* Parel							
Number of surveys returned	24	23	0				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.0%	69.6%	N/R				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	87.5%	82.6%	N/R				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 45.8% 87.0% N/R							
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included							